

**To:** Kavlock, Robert[Kavlock.Robert@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Rodan, Bruce[rodan.bruce@epa.gov]; Costa, Dan[Costa.Dan@epa.gov]; Gwinn, Maureen[gwinn.maureen@epa.gov]; Sjogren, Mya[Sjogren.Mya@epa.gov]  
**From:** Miller, Andy  
**Sent:** Sat 6/17/2017 5:37:45 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Additional thoughts on a red team-blue team evaluation of climate science

Yes. I'll let you know if I hear anything. Otherwise, just watching.

C.A. (Andy) Miller  
Associate Director for Climate  
Air, Climate, and Energy Research Program  
US EPA Office of Research and Development  
Los Angeles, CA  
Miller.andy@epa.gov  
(213) 244-1809  
(919) 699-3072 (cell)

-----Original Message-----

From: Kavlock, Robert  
Sent: Friday, June 16, 2017 6:51 PM  
To: Miller, Andy <Miller.Andy@epa.gov>  
Cc: Rodan, Bruce <rodan.bruce@epa.gov>; Costa, Dan <Costa.Dan@epa.gov>; Gwinn, Maureen <gwinn.maureen@epa.gov>; Sjogren, Mya <Sjogren.Mya@epa.gov>  
Subject: Re: Additional thoughts on a red team-blue team evaluation of climate science

Thanks for the thinking. Certainly an honest broker would be absolutely essential to avoid a kangaroo court. Let's see if this gets any traction.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jun 16, 2017, at 12:01 AM, Miller, Andy <Miller.Andy@epa.gov> wrote:  
>  
> scientific